



**NordMedia**  
Network


# Academic Book Reviewing

**Last updated: April 27, 2021**

This literature list provides an overview of academic literature on writing and publishing book reviews. It is part of the resources offered by the Academic Book Review Community, an online resource for media researchers at NordMedia Network.

For inquiries, contact Maarit Jaakkola, editor-in-chief of NordMedia Network, [maarit.jaakkola@gu.se](mailto:maarit.jaakkola@gu.se).

Visit NordMedia Network: <http://nordmedianetwork.org>.



UNIVERSITY OF  
GOTHENBURG



UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN



**Nordic Council  
of Ministers**

NordMedia Network is operated by Nordicom, a centre for Nordic media research at the University of Gothenburg in Sweden, in collaboration with the Department of Information Science and Media Studies at the University of Bergen in Norway. NordMedia Network is funded with support from the Nordic Council of Ministers. [www.nordicom.gu.se](http://www.nordicom.gu.se)

## Reviews in Academia

- East, J. W. (2011). The scholarly book review in the humanities: An academic Cinderella? *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 43(1), 52–67. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jsp.43.1.52>
- Flanders, J. (1979). Katherine Anne Porter's Feminist Criticism: Book Reviews from the 1920's. *Frontiers*, 4(2), 44–48. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3346540>
- Hérubel, J.-P. V. M. (2020). The book review landscape in American history: Specialization, segmentation, value, and history journals. *Publishing Research Quarterly*, 36, 350–364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12109-020-09739-9>
- Lindholm-Romantschuk, Y. (1998). *Scholarly book reviewing in the social sciences and humanities: The flow of ideas within and among disciplines*. Greenwood Press. [https://books.google.se/books/about/Scholarly\\_Book\\_Reviewing\\_in\\_the\\_Social\\_S.html?id=\\_WZ7J\\_ZcKkEC&redir\\_esc=y](https://books.google.se/books/about/Scholarly_Book_Reviewing_in_the_Social_S.html?id=_WZ7J_ZcKkEC&redir_esc=y)
- Obeng-Odoom, F. (2014). Why write book reviews? *The Australian Universities' Review*, 56(1), 78–82. [http://issuu.com/nteu/docs/aur\\_56-01](http://issuu.com/nteu/docs/aur_56-01)
- Orteza y Miranda, E. (1996). On book reviewing. *Journal of Educational Thought*, 30(2), 191–202. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23768989>
- Roncevic, M. (2019, July 16). Is quality, like beauty, in the eye of the beholder? The elusive art of book reviewing and its impact on reading, discovery, and sales. <http://www.noshelfrequired.com/is-quality-like-beauty-in-the-eye-of-the-beholder-the-elusive-art-of-book-reviewing-and-its-influence-on-reading-discovery-and-sales/>
- Zinkhan, G., & Clark, T. (1995). The role of books and book reviews in the knowledge dissemination process. *Journal of Marketing*, 59(1), 106–108. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1252018>
- Zuccala, A., & van Leeuwen, T. (2011). Book reviews in humanities research evaluations. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 62(10), 1979–1991. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.21588>

## Practical Advice

- Blanpain, K. (2006). Book reviews. In K. Blanpain, *Academic writing in the humanities and social sciences: A resource for researchers* (pp. 93–96). Acco. [https://books.google.se/books?id=OFUNqZa7VF4C&pg=PA93&hl=sv&source=gbs\\_toc\\_r&ad=3#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.se/books?id=OFUNqZa7VF4C&pg=PA93&hl=sv&source=gbs_toc_r&ad=3#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- Chenail, R. J. (2010). How to read and review a book like a qualitative researcher. *The Qualitative Report*, 15(6), 1635–1642. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2010.1369>
- Clough, W. (1931). The book reviewer's vocabulary. *American Speech*, 6(3), 180–186. <https://doi.org/10.2307/452159>
- Drewry, John E. (1966). *Writing book reviews*. Greenwood Press. (Original work published 1945). <https://archive.org/details/writingbookrevie0000drew>
- Fang, Z. (2021). *Demystifying academic writing: Genres, moves, skills, and strategies*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003131618>

- Hartley, J. (2006). Reading and writing book reviews across the disciplines. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 57(9), 1194–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20399>
- Hartley, J. (2008). Book reviews. In J. Hartley (Ed.), *Academic writing and publishing: A practical handbook* (pp. 115–122). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203927984>
- Hartley, J. (2010). The anatomy of a book review. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 40(4), 473–487. <https://doi.org/10.2190/TW.40.4.g>
- Kitchin, R., & Fuller, D. (2005). *The academic's guide to publishing*. Sage. <https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/the-academics-guide-to-publishing/book226599>
- Lee, A. D., Green, B. N., Johnson, C. D., & Nyquist, J. (2010). How to write a scholarly book review for publication in a peer-reviewed journal: A review of the literature. *Journal of Chiropractic Education*, 24(1), 57–69. <https://doi.org/10.7899/1042-5055-24.1.57>
- Lev, B. (1992). Book reviews. *Interfaces*, 22, 85–89. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25061666>
- Lewis, M. N. (2020, June 10). Here's a good book: Hints on writing a book review for academic journals. *RELC Journal*. Online First. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688220916239>
- Mligo, E. S. (2012). Book reports and book reviews as academic papers. In E. S. Mligo, *Writing academic papers: A resource manual for beginners in higher-learning institutions and colleges* (chapter 4). Resource Publications. [https://books.google.se/books?id=t4FJAwAAQBAJ&pg=PT32&hl=sv&source=gbs\\_toc\\_r&cad=3#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.se/books?id=t4FJAwAAQBAJ&pg=PT32&hl=sv&source=gbs_toc_r&cad=3#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- Moxley, J. M., & Taylor, T. (1997). *Writing and publishing for academic authors* (2nd ed.). Rowman & Littlefield. <https://rowman.com/ISBN/9780847682584/Writing-and-Publishing-for-Academic-Authors-2nd-Edition>
- Murad Sani, Azlina (2016) *Academic writing essentials: A guide for postgraduate students*. UUM Press. <https://www.e-sentral.com/book/info/125487/academic-writing-essentials>
- Roe, S. C., & den Ouden, P. H. (2018). *Academic writing, third edition: The complete guide*. Canadian Scholars' Press. <https://www.canadianscholars.ca/books/academic-writing>
- Tracy, K. (1997). Review: Constructing an Academic Book Review. *Human Studies*, 20(1), 117–123. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20011141>

## Textual Studies

- Ahmed, F. (2021). Exploring interaction in academic book reviews across different disciplines: A comparative study. *Research – Egyptian Journals*, 1(1), 21–47. <https://doi.org/10.21608/buhuth.2021.136388>
- Babaii, E. (2003). Towards an analysis of book reviews as an academic written genre [Doctoral dissertation, Shiraz University, Iran]. <https://books.google.se/books?id=1cEotwAACAAJ&dq=writing+%22book+reviews%22+academic&hl=fi&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwjbj8uY75HwAhVwkIsKHV7LCXQQ6AEwAXoECAEQAQ>

- Bal-Gezegin, B. (2016). A Corpus-based Investigation of Metadiscourse in Academic Book Reviews. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 232, 713–718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.097>
- Bal-Gezegin, B., & Bas, M. (2020). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A comparison of research articles and book reviews. *Eurasian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 6(1), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.32601/ejal.710204>
- Gea-Valor, M.-L. (2010). The emergence of the author’s voice in book reviewing: A contrastive study of academic vs. non-academic discourse. In R. Lorés-Sanz, P. Mur-Dueñas, & E. Lafuente-Millán (Eds.), *Constructing interpersonalit: Multiple perspectives on written academic genres* (pp. 118–135). Cambridge Scholars Publishing. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315009297\\_The\\_Emergence\\_of\\_the\\_Author%27s\\_Voice\\_in\\_Book\\_Reviewing\\_A\\_Contrastive\\_Study\\_of\\_Academic\\_vs\\_Non-Academic\\_Discourse](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315009297_The_Emergence_of_the_Author%27s_Voice_in_Book_Reviewing_A_Contrastive_Study_of_Academic_vs_Non-Academic_Discourse)
- Gezegin, B. B. (2015). Book review genre in academic writing: A comparative study of English and Turkish across ten disciplines [Submitted dissertation, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey]. <http://etd.lib.metu.edu.tr/upload/12619413/index.pdf>
- Hyland, K., & Diani, G. (2009). *Academic evaluation: Review genres in university settings*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230244290>
- Itakura, H., & Tsui, A. B. M. (2011). Evaluation in academic discourse: Managing criticism in Japanese and English book reviews. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(5), 1366–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2010.10.023>
- Moreno A. I., & Suárez L. (2009). Academic book reviews in English and Spanish: Critical comments and rhetorical structure. In K. Hyland, & G. Diani (Eds.), *Academic Evaluation*. Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230244290\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230244290_10)
- Motta-Roth, D. (1995, March 26–April 1). *Book reviews and disciplinary discourses: Defining a genre* [Conference presentation]. 29th Annual Meeting of Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages, Long Beach, California, USA. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED404858>
- Motta-Roth, D. (1995). Rhetorical features and disciplinary cultures: a genre-based study of academic book reviews in linguistics, chemistry, and economics [Doctoral thesis, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil]. <https://repositorio.ufsc.br/handle/123456789/157910>
- Nicolaisen, J. (2002). The scholarliness of published peer reviews: A bibliometric study of book reviews in selected social science fields. *Research Evaluation*, 11(3), 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.3152/147154402781776808>
- North, S. M. (1992). On book reviews in rhetoric and composition. *Rhetoric Review*, 10(2), 348–363. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07350199209388977>
- Römer, U. (2010). Establishing the phraseological profile of a text type: The construction of meaning in academic book reviews. *English Text Construction*, 3(1), 95–119. <https://doi.org/10.1075/etc.3.1.06rom>
- Tse, P., & Hyland, K. (2006). ‘So what is the problem this book addresses?’ Interactions in academic book reviews. *Text & Talk*, 26(6), 767–790. <https://doi.org/10.1515/TEXT.2006.031>
- Rowland, N. J., Knapp, J. A., & Fargo, H. (2019). Learning “scholarship as conversation” by writing book reviews. *Scholarship and Practice of Undergraduate Research*, 2(3), 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.18833/spur/2/3/6>

Valor, G., del Saz Rubio, M. L., de los Milagros, M. (2000). The coding of linguistic politeness in the academic book review. *Pragmalingüística*, (8-9), 165–178.  
<https://rodin.uca.es/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10498/8815/31260238.pdf?sequence=1>

Vassileva, I. (2014). Argumentation strategies in negative academic book reviews. *Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 119–128.  
<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/153449366.pdf>

## Contextualization and Discussion

Ashley, L. R. (2002). The ethics of academic book reviewing. *Journal of Information Ethics*, 11(1), 37–51. <https://mcfarlandbooks.com/customers/how-to-buy-journals/journal-of-information-ethics/#Back%20Issues>

Byrne, E. F. (2002). Reviewing academic books: are there ethical issues? *Journal of Information Ethics*, 11(1), 57–65. <https://philpapers.org/rec/BYRRAB>

Di Leo, J. R. (2009). The fate of the book review. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 40(2), 166–177.  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/scp.0.0037>

Donmoyer, R. (2011). Why writers should also be reviewers. In T. S. Rocco, & T. G. Hatcher (Eds.), *The handbook of scholarly writing & publishing* (pp. 230–250). Jossey-Bass.

<https://www.wiley.com/en-us/The+Handbook+of+Scholarly+Writing+and+Publishing-p-9780470949214>  
Aveyard, K. (2018). Academic book reviewing. *Media International Australia*, 166(1), 81–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878X17744703>

Kanda, M. (2013). Disciplinary identity in an academic book review. *The Bulletin of St. Margaret's*, 45, 15–23. [https://doi.org/10.20707/stmlib.45.0\\_15](https://doi.org/10.20707/stmlib.45.0_15)

Kozubíková Šandová, J. (2019). Audience involvement in academic book review articles: An English and Czech comparative study. *Brno studies in English*, 45(2), 101–120.  
<https://doi.org/10.5817/BSE2019-2-5>

Latz, A. O., & Murray, J. L. (2012). A duoethnography on duoethnography: More than a book review. *The Qualitative Report*, 17(36). <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2012.1736>

Lev, B. (2010). Editorial: My experience as a book review editor. *INFORMS Journal on Applied Analytics*, 40(6), 477–479. <https://doi.org/10.1287/inte.1100.0521>

Moreno A. I., & Suárez L. (2008). A framework for comparing evaluation resources across academic texts. *Text & Talk*, 28(6), 749–769. <https://doi.org/10.1515/TEXT.2008.038>

Oinas, P., & Leppälä, S. (2013). Views on book reviews. *Regional Studies*, 47(10), 1785–1789. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2013.856530>

Snizek, W., & Fuhrman, E. (1979). Some factors affecting the evaluative content of book reviews in sociology. *The American Sociologist*, 14(2), 108–114. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27702372>

Rankin, J. (2010). An autobiographical book review of Trauma and human existence: Autobiographical, psychoanalytic, and philosophical reflections, by Robert D. Stolorow. *Journal of Loss and Trauma*, 15(4), 376–380. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15325024.2010.491738>

- Stowe, S. (1991). Thinking about reviews. *The Journal of American History*, 78(2), 591–595. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2079535>
- Sturm, S. (2020, March 15). The critical gift: Revaluing book reviews in Educational Philosophy and Theory. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*. Latest Articles. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1741335>
- Wiley, M. (1993). How to read a book: Reflections on the ethics of book reviewing. *Journal of Advanced Composition*, 13(2), 477–492. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20865928>
- Zylinska, J. (1999). Book review/interview: A bit(e) of the other: An interview with Sue Golding. *Parallax*, 5(4), 145–155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/135346499249542>

## Experimenting and Renewal

- Adams, M. (2007). In the profession: Re-viewing the academic book review. *Journal of English Linguistics*, 35(2), 202–205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0075424207302347>
- Fimi, D. (2020). Tolkien and the art of the academic book review. *AC Review of Books*, 1(1), 1–10. <https://academic-chatter.com/ac-review-of-books/tolkien-and-the-art-of-the-academic-book-review/>
- Henige, D. (2001). Reviewing reviewing. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 33(1), 23–36. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jsp.33.1.23>
- McGrath, E. L., Metz, W. F., & Rutledge, J. B. (2005). H-Net book reviews: Enhancing scholarly communication with technology. *College & Research Libraries*, 66(1), 8–19. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.66.1.8>
- Schneider, M. A. (2003). The book review in an age of e-politics. *Journal of American-East Asian Relations*, 12(1-2). <https://doi.org/10.1163/187656103793645388>
- Spink, A., Robins, D., & Schamber, L. (1998). Use of scholarly book reviews: Implications for electronic publishing and scholarly communication. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 49(4), 364–374. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4571\(19980401\)49:4<364::AID-ASI6>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4571(19980401)49:4<364::AID-ASI6>3.0.CO;2-3)
- Rowland, N. J., Knapp, J. A., & Fargo, H. (2020). The collaborative book review as an opportunity for undergraduate research skill development. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 39(3), 577–590. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1680614>
- Samer, R., & Carlson, L. A. (2013). Beyond the cyborg collective book review. *ADA: A Journal of Gender, New Media, and Technology*, (3). <https://adanewmedia.org/2013/11/issue3-sameretal/>
- Tulley, C., & Blair, K. (2009). Remediating the book review: Toward collaboration and multimodality across the English curriculum. *Pedagogy*, 9(3), 441–469. <https://www.muse.jhu.edu/article/361538>
- Wright, C. M. (1989). Rethinking the literary review. *Western American Literature*, 24(2), 137–146. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43024221>